

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/607,069	06/29/2000	Jie Cheng	200-0382	7285
28395	7590 01/26/2005		EXAMINER	
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C./FGTL			FISHER, MICHAEL J	
1000 TOWN (22ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTHFIELI	D, MI 48075-1238		3629	
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	~~~		
. /		09/607,069	CHENG ET AL.	,		
Offic	ce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•		Michael J Fisher	3629			
Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this communication app					
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for re - If NO period for re - Failure to reply with Any reply receiver	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. It is may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of thin the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted by the Office later than three months after the mailing in adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicati D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.		
Status						
1)⊠ Respons	sive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 N</u>	ovember 2004.				
2a)☐ This acti		action is non-final.				
•	is application is in condition for alloward accordance with the practice under E	·		is		
Disposition of Cl	aims					
	23,24 and 26-41 is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
·	is/are allowed.					
· <u> </u>	23,24 and 26-41 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Pape	rs					
9) The spec	ification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant	may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	nent drawing sheet(s) including the correct or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•		• •		
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119					
a)	edgment is made of a claim for foreign) Some * c) None of: ertified copies of the priority document ertified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	opies of the certified copies of the prior	• •	 			
	oplication from the International Bureau	•	o in this Mational Stage			
-	ttached detailed Office action for a list	1 11	d.			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of Refere	nces Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Drafts	person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) dosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 09/607,069

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 23 and 26-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. There is no technological innovation in the claims. The limitations could be met merely by looking at a table with the "determining" step being accomplished by a person with no technology being used.

Note: While the preamble states that the method is computer-implemented, only claims 24 and 41 actually use a computer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 23 and 26-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. As to claim 23, there is no way to ascertain the functions as claimed. Specifically, there is no way for one of ordinary skill

Art Unit: 3629

in the art to know what to use as a, "constraint for determining a neighbor relationship..." or what to use as a "neighborhood distance function" or how to use this unknown function for, "determining a distance between a pair of used..." or how to, "determine an estimate value... based on the data from the nearest neighbor database..." As to claim 26, there is no way to determine how to, "determin(e) a weighted estimate value... based on the data from ... "While the claims are to be read in light of the specification, there must be enablement in the claims for the limitations therein. As to claim 31, there is no enablement for the limitation, "...resale plan information". The only mention in the specification to a "resale plan" is on page 9, line 8, and there is no mention as to exactly what is meant by, "resale plan information". As to claims 33,35 and 36, the formulae in claims 33,35 and 36 are incomplete. While they list variables to be used, they do not explain how to use the variables therefore there is no way to use these claims. Due to these unknown functions there is no way to make and/or use the invention. Further, from the claims, it would not be possible for one of ordinary skill in the art to know if they were infringing on the claimed invention.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/3/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As to arguments involving the formulae in claims 33,35 and 36, they are not complete. Further, the applicant remarks that "(please note the variables v1 and v2 are used in a different context than claim 33)". This would appear to reinforce the examiner's arguments that the formulae are unclear as there are acknowledged by

Page 4

applicant different uses for the same variables. This, coupled with vague references to using variables in a formula without explaining the exact formula, would not allow one of ordinary skill in the art to make and/or use the invention. Further, applicant lists two Errors, p and k, without explaining how to compute the error. Thus, there is no enablement. For instance, in claim 33, is the limitation "B) determining an Error k based on V1, Const, F d, K and Error p"..." There is no way to understand how to determine the "Error k". While the claims are to be read in light of the specification, there must be enablement in the claims for the limitations. The examiner understands the variables, however, there is no way to understand how to combine them from the claims to achieve the desired result and therefore, different people, combining the variables in different ways would achieve wildly different results and could both be infringing on the patent if granted.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J Fisher whose telephone number is 703-306-5993. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30am-5:00pm alt Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 703-308-2702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael J Fisher

Patent Examiner GAU 3629

MF//\ 1/24/05